**Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS)**

**Original Citation** – Overall JE. Gorham DR. The brief psychiatric rating scale. Psychological reports. 1962 10:799-812.

**Contact Information** – No information found.

**Price & Availability** – Published in original citation. In public domain. Abbreviated and full version available at Community Mental Health Evaluation Initiative website: [www.ontario.cmha.ca](http://www.ontario.cmha.ca)

**Brief Description of Instrument** – Provides a description of major symptom characteristics in psychiatric patients. Developed as a rapid evaluation technique to assess treatment change.

**Administration time** – 18 min for patient interview. 2-3 min after completion of patient interview to complete rating scale.

**Scale Format** – 7-point Likert scale ranging from not present to extremely severe.

**Administration Technique** – Guidelines given for patient interview in original citation. Rating scale completed by health care professional after patient interview.

**Scoring and Interpretation** – Each symptom scored from 1-7. Total pathology score, sum item scores. Scoring weights for evaluating improvement in 13 diagnostic types including paranoid, schizophrenic, psychotic and manic depressive provided in original citation.

Inter-rater Reliability – Reported for each item in original citation, ranges from 0.56 (tension) - 0.87 (guilt feelings and hallucinatory behaviour).

Strengths – Sensitive to change, broad evaluation of a number of different symptoms, psychometric properties and underlying factor structure is well-established.

Limitations – Limited in scope - focus on positive symptoms and general psychopathology. Limited focus on negative symptoms. Needs to be utilised in combination with a negative symptom assessment tool, if negative symptomatology is to be captured. Requires further validity testing.

Notes for Consideration – To increase reliability of ratings, it is recommended that patients be interviewed jointly by a team of two clinicians, with raters either making independent ratings to be later compared, or jointly making ratings through discussion and consensus building. Any given project should use a uniform procedure. Recommend training interviews for raters.

Related Methods Articles (Not Reviewed) – *note many studies exist documenting psychometrics and use in various populations.
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